HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

AND

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO. 22318 OF 2006

DATE: 15.11.2006

Between:

Mariyam Fathima, D/o Syed Abbas Hussain, R/o G.2 1st Floor, AGA Towers, Sultanpura, Hyderabad.

…Petitioner

AND

1. The Station House Officer, Mirchowk Police Station, Hyderabad. and one another.

….Respondents

–

–

–

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

AND

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

–

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO. 22318 OF 2006

ORAL ORDER: **(per the Hon'ble Sri Justice D.S.R.VARMA)**

Heard the learned Government Pleader for Home, representing the learned Advocate General, appearing for the respondents.

2. This writ petition is taken up on the strength of a 'Telegram', dated 17.10.2006 sent by Mariyam Fathima, D/o Syed Abbas Hussain, resident of G2, 1st Floor, AGA Towers, Sultanpura, Hyderabad, addressed to the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad, alleging that she is aged about 19 years and she was married to a person aged about 50 years by name Mohd. Rasool Patel, belonging to Saudi Arabia and she was forcibly taken away by her husband to Saudi Aribia and harassed her mentally and physically and she came back to India and her husband Mohd. Rasool Patel has been pressurizing her to accompany him to Saudi Aribia and that her parents also trying to send her along with the said person forcibly.

3. Upon receipt of notice issued by this Court, the Inspector of Police, Mirchowk Police Station, Hyderabad, filed a counter affidavit, inter alia, stating that he examined the husband of the petitioner Mohd Rasool Patel who stated that he has not subjected her to any such harassment as alleged in the telegram and he is ready to give divorce if the petitioner is not willing to stay with him.

4. Upon directions of this court, the petitioner and her father were produced before this court and what the petitioner stated in the

complaint had been reiterated in the court before us. However, no she stated that she is determined to get divorce from that person. The father of the petitioner also stated that he will make all efforts to see that her daughter is divorced from the said Mohd Rasool Patel and eventually a request is made to the court that they want to withdraw the complaint.

5. In view of the said facts stated before this court, we are of the view that nothing remains in this Writ Petition and the same is liable to be closed.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is closed. No order as to costs.

_____

D.S.R.VARMA, J

_____

P. SWAROOP REDDY, J

Dated: 15.11.2006

KA